UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,004	03/19/2004	Makoto Kudo	118768	6984
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/11/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	CONNOLLY, MARK A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/804,004	KUDO, MAKOTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARK CONNOLLY	2115				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u>	pril 2008					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	•	- 3				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
a) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2115

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-15 have been presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haban<sup>1</sup> in view of Hadji<sup>2</sup> in view of Carter<sup>3</sup>.
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Haban teaches the semiconductor device that accesses at least one semiconductor medium comprising:
  - a. a bus master [col. 4 lines 35-37].
  - b. a bus interface that control access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium based on request for access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium from the bus master [108-109 fig. 1 and col. 4 lines 35-39]. Because access to memory is common, the destination is interpreted as comprising a semiconductor storage medium such as SDRAM 108 or SRAM/FLASH/ROM/PCMCIA 109.
  - c. the at least one semiconductor storage medium including a plurality of semiconductor storage media [fig. 1]. The SDRAM and SRAM are interpreted as the at

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As cited in the previous office action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> As cited in the previous office action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As cited in the previous office action.

Art Unit: 2115

least one semiconductor storage medium comprising a plurality of semiconductor storage media.

Although Haban teaches the semiconductor device substantially as claimed above, Haban does not explicitly teach a single bus interface comprising a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to one of the semiconductor storage media and a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information.

### Hadji teaches:

- d. the bus interface including a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 35-39 and col. 4 lines 6-12, 34-43]. In particular, bus interface 108 comprises a plurality of buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220 in which each separately interface components with data bus 101. The separate interfaces are interpreted as dedicated bus interfaces corresponding to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media (i.e. buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220).
- e. a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock

Art Unit: 2115

to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information [204 fig. 2, col. 2 lines 22-27 and col. 4 lines 33-43].

It would have been obvious to include the interfaces taught by Haban into an interface as taught by Hadji because it would provide a means to reduce the power consumption of the bus interfaces during periods of inactivity in the Haban system as suggested by Hadji [abstract and col. 1 lines 32-36].

Although Haban and Hadji teach independently enabling/disabling the clock to the individual dedicated bus interfaces (i.e. data buffers) within the bus interface based on if the data buffers are active or inactive, it is not explicitly taught that the clock signals are removed from each of the dedicated bus interfaces a predetermined time after each dedicated bus interface completes its access with a first storage medium. In particular, the Haban-Hadji system does not detail how idleness is determined within the system. Carter teaches a well known means to determine idleness in system components by including timers which, when they expire, indicate that components are inactive [col. 2 lines 15-23]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the timers taught in Carter into the Haban-Hadji system because it would provide a means to determine when the dedicated bus interfaces are idle thus allowing the clocks to the dedicated bus interfaces to be disabled. Although Carter teaches a single timer for controlling the clocks to an entire system when it is determined that the entire system is inactive, it should be apparent that the Haban-Hadji-Carter system would have individual timers for each dedicated bus interface because Hadji explicitly teaches individual

Art Unit: 2115

clock control for each dedicated bus interface rather than a system wide control such as that taught in Carter.

5. Referring to claim 2, the Haban-Hadji system teaches:

- f. a common bus interface that in common implements operation required for access control when access to any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media is in execution [*Hadji*: interface 108 comprising the clocking units is interpreted as the common bus interface].
- g. the plurality of dedicated bus interfaces each correspond to a certain one of the plurality of semiconductor storage media and that each implement operation required for access control only when access to the certain one of the plurality of semiconductor storage media is in execution [*Haban:*108-109 fig. 1 and col. 15 line 62 and col. 16 line 5].
- h. the clock-supply-control circuit detects any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is other than any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed based on accessed-medium information indicating which semiconductor storage medium is to be accessed, and controls so as to stop the supply of the clock to any of the plurality of dedicated bus interfaces for the any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is other than the any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed and supply the clock to any of the plurality of dedicated bus interfaces for the any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed and supply the clock to any of the plurality of dedicated bus interfaces for the any of the plurality of semiconductor storage media that is to be accessed [Hadji: abstract].

Art Unit: 2115

6. Referring to claim 3, Hadji teaches outputting signals from clock control unit 202 within the bus interface, representative of which clocks to disable [col. 4 lines 33-43]. Each output signal is interpreted as a valid signal.

- 7. Referring to claims 4-11, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Hadji teaches a computer system and therefore inherently teaches an input and output device for receiving, processing and outputting information.
- 8. Referring to claim 12, Carter teaches outputting a signal indicating if activity is detected. If activity is detected, the timer is reset and begins to count the predetermined time again once the activity is over [col. 2 lines 15-23].
- 9. Referring to claim 13, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.
- 10. Referring to claim 14, the Haban-Hadji system teaches a plurality of bus interface blocks interfacing with both an SDRAM and SRAM. In particular, Hadji teaches two dedicated bus interfaces, one for reading from and one for writing to, for each of the SDRAM and SRAM taught in Haban. Therefore the dedicated bus interfaces for the SDRAM could be interpreted as a first bus interface block while the dedicated bus interfaces for the SRAM could be interpreted as a second bus interface block.
- 11. Referring to claim 15, inherently the predetermined time must be longer than one clock cycle because Carter teaches that a time must elapse before determining idleness which indicates that the timer must account for a positive time period. Since everything in a digital system is controlled by clocks, the counting of the timer is also inherently controlled by a clock. In order for the timer to count a given time period, at least one clock cycle must pass to trigger the count operation of the timer.

Art Unit: 2115

12. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hadji in view of Micron<sup>4</sup> in view of Carter.

- 13. Referring to claim 1, Hadji teaches the invention substantially including:
  - i. a bus interface that controls access to at least one semiconductor storage medium based on request for access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the bus interface including a plurality of dedicated bus interfaces that each correspond to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3 lines 35-39 and col. 4 lines 6-12, 34-43]. In particular, bus interface 108 comprises a plurality of buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220 in which each separately interface the system memory 206 or CPU 102 with data bus 101. The separate interfaces are interpreted as dedicated bus interfaces corresponding to a certain one of the semiconductor storage media (i.e. buffers 214, 216, 218 and 220).
  - j. a clock-supply-control circuit that controls the presence of the supply of a clock to the bus interface based on access state information that indicates a state of access to the at least one semiconductor storage medium, the clock-supply-control circuit including a circuit, the circuit implementing at least one control for stopping the supply of the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is not in execution, and control for supplying the clock to the bus interface if the circuit determines that access is in execution, based on the access state information [204 fig. 2, col. 2 lines 22-27 and col. 4 lines 33-43].

Although Hadji teaches the invention as claimed above, there is no teaching that the bus interface controls access to the memory based on a request for access by a bus master. Bus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As cited in the previous office action.

masters are well known in the art and are required to control bus access so that multiple devices (like peripherals 110, 112, 114 and 116 or memory devices 214, 216, 218 and 220) do not attempt to communicate over the same bus (data bus 101) at the same time. It is obvious that the Hadji system comprise a bus master for requesting access to the data bus in order to avoid multiple accesses to the data bus thereby preventing any data corruption which would occur from multiple devices writing to the data bus at the same time.

Lastly, Hadji teaches having at least one system memory (i.e. semiconductor storage medium), but does not explicitly teach that the system memory includes a plurality of semiconductor storage media. Micron teaches that system memory such as SDRAM comprise a plurality of semiconductor storage media [Table 1 and Fig. 1]. For example, Micron teaches that in a 16 Meg memory, the memory will comprise 16 x 1 Meg memory modules, 8 x 2 Meg memory modules or 4 x 4 Meg memory modules. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art if not inherent that the system memory in the Hadji system would comprise a plurality of memory modules (interpreted as a plurality of semiconductor storage media) or at least be compatible to use such a memory because system memory such as SDRAM designed by different manufacturers such as Micron use a plurality of memory modules.

As recited above, the Hadji-Micron system teaches a plurality of bus interfaces (buffers 214 and 216) providing an interface between the system memory and data bus 101, and because the system memory comprises a plurality of memory modules, it is interpreted that buffers 214 and 216, because they correspond to the system memory, also correspond to each one of the memory modules in the system memory.

Although Hadji and Micron teach independently enabling/disabling the clock to the individual dedicated bus interfaces within the bus interface based on if the data buffers are active

Art Unit: 2115

or inactive, it is not explicitly taught that the clock signals are removed from each of the dedicated bus interfaces a predetermined time after each dedicated bus interface completes its access with a first storage medium. In particular, the Hadji-Micron system does not detail how idleness is determined within the system. Carter teaches a well known means to determine idleness in system components by including timers which, when they expire, indicate that components are inactive [col. 2 lines 15-23]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the timers taught in Carter into the Hadji-Micron system because it would provide a means to determine when the dedicated bus interfaces are idle thus allowing the clocks to the dedicated bus interfaces to be disabled. Although Carter teaches a single timer for controlling the clocks to an entire system when it is determined that the entire system is inactive, it should be apparent that the Hadji-Micron-Carter system would have individual timers for each dedicated bus interface because Hadji explicitly teaches individual clock control for each dedicated bus interface rather than a global wide control such as that taught in Carter.

- 14. Referring to claim 3, Hadji teaches outputting signals from clock control unit 202 within the bus interface, representative of which clocks to disable [col. 4 lines 33-43]. Each output signal is interpreted as a valid signal.
- 15. Referring to claims 4, 6-9 and 11, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove. Hadji teaches a computer system and therefore inherently teaches an input and output device for receiving, processing and outputting information.
- 16. Referring to claim 12, Carter teaches outputting a signal indicating if activity is detected. If activity is detected, the timer is reset and begins to count the predetermined time again once the activity is over [col. 2 lines 15-23].

Art Unit: 2115

17. Referring to claim 13, this is rejected on the same basis as set forth hereinabove.

18. Referring to claim 15, inherently the predetermined time must be longer than one clock cycle because Carter teaches that a time must elapse before determining idleness which indicates that the timer must account for a positive time period. Since everything in a digital system is controlled by clocks, the counting of the timer is also inherently controlled by a clock. In order for the timer to count a given time period, at least one clock cycle must pass to trigger the count operation of the timer.

# Response to Arguments

- 19. Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 20. In the REMARKS, applicants argue in substance that the examiners assertion that applicants have misinterpreted the Carter reference is incorrect and that "Carter teaches, or at least would have suggested, that the timer starts at the initiation of the access period and the duration of the timer is of sufficient length to let the current activity complete."
- 21. Referring to applicant's argument, there is a general misunderstanding on applicant's part as to how the Carter system generally operates. Although applicant references both col. 14 lines 19-27 and col. 16 lines 50-53, to suggest that the interval time is selected to be sufficiently long enough to cover an activity period plus an inactivity period thereafter, the passages cited by applicant are isolated events related to when the system initially boots an operating system and when a user presses a standby switch to manually initiate a low power mode. Those events are different than that which occurs during runtime where inactivity is determined through the timer alone and that which is relied upon by the examiner.

Art Unit: 2115

In particular, Carter teaches that the timer is restarted (i.e. reset) upon access to the hard disk unit, floppy disk unit, keyboard, serial ports and the printer. There is no teaching to support applicant's assertion that the inactivity timer begins to count an inactivity time while the system is active. In fact, doing so would be counter intuitive and make the system inoperative. For example, if a user wanted to print a long document of let's say 100 pages on an ink jet printer, it would be safe to say that the print time would take longer than 70-85 seconds which applicants suggest is an inactivity period set to cover both an active and inactive period. If this were the case, the system would enter a low power mode in the middle of printing the document and thus making it impossible to print such document. This is why the timer is reset upon access but would only recommence once the system is detected as being idle. By resetting the timer upon access of the hard disk, floppy disk, etc... you ensure the inactivity time is set to its predefined length so that a subsequent idle time can be accurately measured. In other words, if a time period is set for 60 seconds and the system previously experienced a 50 second inactive period, the next subsequent inactive period would only be 10 seconds if not reset upon access to the hard disk, floppy disk, etc...

To further support the examiners understanding of the Carter reference, it is taught that the inactivity period is reduced in conjunction with a remaining battery level. Carter explicitly teaches that when the battery reaches a critical level (LOWBAT2 condition) the timer is set to a very short duration of 20 seconds so that "should any of the monitored devices *not be used for 20 seconds*, the system will automatically enter standby mode" [col. 16 lines 31-35]. This statement alone indicates that the timer counts a time when the device *is not* being used (i.e. inactive) and not an active period as suggested by applicant.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2115

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK CONNOLLY whose telephone number is (571)272-3666.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Connolly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2115 7/8/08 Mark Connolly Primary Examiner Art Unit 2115